MASS VALUATION - COMPARISON BETWEEN REAL MARKET VALUE AND VALUE OF MAP VALUES (A CASE STUDY IN TIRANA CITY)

A Thesis Presented

By

Alban Methasani

MASTER OF SCIENCE EPOKA UNIVERSITY

2015

MASS VALUATION - COMPARISON BETWEEN REAL MARKET VALUE AND VALUE OF MAP VALUES (A CASE STUDY IN TIRANA CITY)

A Thesis Presented

by

Alban Methasani

Thesis Submitted to the Civil Engineering Department of the Epoka University in partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

Epoka University

Faculty of Engineering and Architecture

June 2015

ABSTRACT

Real estate, land is a miracle gifted by God to mankind which can be used for universal purposes and is an invaluable asset, at the same time constitutes one of the main assets for every state, or society. Also, at the same time, it represents one of the areas with the best and safest possibilities to invest, because the investment in a real estate can give higher profits and in a longer range of time than the profits that can be taken if we deposit money in the bank will, is protected from inflation and has sustainability in profit.

Seeing that immovable property covers a very important position for peoples and as well as for the economy of any country, then it is necessary to make an accurate assessment for its value and to define the goals of the assessment.

The goals of the assessment are many for reason like the sale, purchase, loan, financial reports, development and redevelopment, for purpose of compensation and expropriation, and many other reasons. Despite the purposes for which is done assessment of the real estate the main purpose is to determine the market value, which is the basis of the assessment of real estate.

One of the main purposes of making the valuation process is the creation of map of values for the building lands and apartments. As well as to define efficiency and quality of the current methodology used for evaluation of real estate in our country and also the improvements that can be made on the current methodology to increase the quality of property assessment.

Exists two main categories for the valuation of real estate properties that are usually used and they are individual and mass valuation of real estate, where the individual valuation generally is used for smaller areas properties and more exactly for the valuation of buildings and has a higher accuracy but is not used on the valuation of larger areas because has higher cost and needs much time, whereas the mass valuation is generally used for the properties with larger areas, in most of the cases is used for expropriation and compensation purposes.

Has a smaller rate of accuracy than individual valuation but is generally used on valuation of properties with large surface, it has a lower cost and also needs less time than individual valuation to get in conclusions.

To determine the market value generally the valuators are based on the transactions that are done on the market of the real estate properties, where to have a value of a higher accuracy we must have on disposition as much as possible transactions for the selling of real estate properties.

The objective of this paper is to determine the factors that influence the large difference between the real value of the property and the property value based on the map of values determined by the government.

ABSTRAKT

Pasuria e paluajtshme, toka eshte nje mrekulli e dhuruar nga zoti per njerezimin e cila mund te perdoret per qellime universal dhe ka nje vlere te pacmuar, ne te njejten kohe perben nje nder paurite kryesore per cdo shtet, apo shoqeri. Gjithashtu, ne te njejten kohe ajo perben nje nder fushat me te mira dhe me te sigurta per te investuar, pasi investimi ne nje pasuri te paluajteshme mund te jape fitime me te larta dhe ne nje koheshtrirje me te gjate se sa fitimet qe mund te marrim nga interesat e fitimit qe mund te marrim nese parate do ti depozitonim ne banke, eshte e mbrojtur nga inflacioni si dhe ka qendrueshmeri ne fitim.

Duke pare qe pasuria e paluajtshme ze nje vend shume te rendesishem si per individet ashtu edhe per ekonomine e cdo vendi, atehere eshte e domosdoshme qe te bejme nje vleresim te sakte per vleren e saj si dhe te percaktojme qellimet e kryerjes se vleresimit.

Qellimet e kryerjes se vleresimit jane te shumta si per arsye shitje, blerje, kredi, raporte financiare, zhvillim dhe rizhvillim, per arsye kompensimi dhe shpronesimi dhe shume arsye te tjera. Pavaresisht qellimeve per te cilat kryhet vlersimi I pasurive, qellimi kryesor eshte percaktimi I vleres se tregut qe eshte baza e vleresimit te pasurive te paluajtshme.

Nje nga qellimet kryesore te kryerjes se vleresimit eshte dhe hartimi I hartes se vlerave per trojet e ndertimit dhe apartamentet. Si dhe te percaktoje efikasitetin dhe cilesine e metodologiise aktuale qe perdoret per vleresimin e pasurive te paluajtshme

ne vendin tone dhe gjithashtu permiresimet qe mund ti behen metodologjise aktuale per te rritur cilesine e vleresimit te pronave.

Egzistojne dy kategori te medha per vleresimin e pasurive te paluajteshme qe perdorim dhe ato jane vlersimi individual dhe vleresimi masiv I pasurive, ku vlersimi individual ka fushe me te shpeshte perdorimi per zona te vogla ose me saktesisht per ndertesa dhe ka nje saktesi me te madhe por nuk perdoret shume per pasuri te me siperfaqe te madhe pasi ka kosto te larte, ndersa vlersimi masiv perdoret me gjeresisht ne vleresimin e pasurive me siperfaqe te medha per arsye kompensimi dhe shpronesimi.

Ka saktesi me te vogel se vlersimi individual por eshte me perdorshem ne vleresimin e pasurive te paluajteshme me siperfaqe te madhe pasi ka kosto me te ulet dhe nevojitet nje periudhe me e shkurter kohore per te arritur ne perfundime.

Per percaktimin e vleres se tregut zakonisht vleresuesit bazohen ne transaksionet qe kryhen ne tregun e shit-blerjeve te pasurive te paluajtshme ku per te patur nje vlere sa me te sakte dhe precize duhet te kemi ne dispozicion sa me shume transaksione shit-blerjesh qe te jete e mundur.

Objektivi I ketij punimi eshte percaktimi I faktoreve qe ndikojne ne diferencen e madhe midis vleres reale te prones dhe vleres se prones bazuar ne harten e vlerave te percaktuar nga qeveria.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It has been an intense though not very long journey to end of this work. During this year preparation of my thesis I have been assisted by a lot of people, to whom I would like to express my gratitude.

Firstly I want to thank my supervisor, Ph. D. Ing. Elfrida Shehu for her guidance, suggestions and advices for the concluding this project. She has played a very important role in my study by helping me for each step of the study and also by providing to me some important information for some parts of the study.

I want to thank my family for their support during the whole time period of the study. They offered me a big spiritual support and don't let me down during the difficult periods along the study progress. They are the main factor and support for me being in this levels.

Finally I want to thank all my friends that in one way or another have supported me during this long process. They were a big support because with them I shared information and took a valuable information related to the thesis in other directions.

Thanks a lot to all the persons that contribute on this project.

APPROVAL SHEET

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on date of viva to conduct the final examination of Alban Methasani on his Master of Science thesis entitled "Mass Valuation – Comparison between Real Market Value and Value of Map Values (A Case Study in City of Tirana" in accordance with Epoka University (Higher Degree) Regulation "On second cycle study programs" and "On third cycle study programs". The committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Chairman, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering and Architecture
Epoka University
(Chairman)

Examiner 1, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering and Architecture
Epoka University
(Internal Examiner)

Examiner 2, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering and Architecture
Epoka University
(Internal Examiner)

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the project is based of quotations and citations which have been declare that it has not been previously or of other degree at EPOKA University	n duly acknowledged. I also concurrently submitted for any
_	Alban Methasani
	Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
ABSTE	RACT	iii
ABSTE		V
	OWLEDGEMENTS	vii
	OVAL SHEET	viii
DECLA	ARATION	ix
LIST C	OF FIGURES	xii
LIST C	OF TABLES	xiii
CHAP	ΓERS	
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.3 Purpose and Objective of Study	3
	1.5 Organization of the thesis	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1Valuation	5
	2.1.1 Historic Background	5
	2.1.2 Valuation Definition	6
	2.1.3 Market Value	8
	2.1.4 Factors Influencing the value	9
	2.1.4.1 Physical factors influencing the value	12
	2.1.4.2 Market factors	13
	2.2 Types and Methods of Valuation	14
	2.2.1 Individual Valuation	15
	2.2.1.1 Comparison	16
	2.2.1.2 Investment	17
	2.2.1.3 Development	18
	2.2.1.4 Cost	19
	2.2.1.5 Profit	21
	2.2.2 Mass Valuation	22
	2.2.2.1 Mass Valuation and its Usage Categories	22
	2.2.2.2 Sale Comparison	24
	2.2.2.3 Cost Approach	26
	2.2.2.4 Income Capitalization	27

	2.3 Land Valuation	29
	2.3.1 Land Valuation Methods	29
	2.3.1.1 Allocation	30
	2.3.1.2 Extraction	31
	2.3.1.3 Sale Comparison	32
	2.3.1.4 Development	35
	2.3.2 Factors and Criteria influencing land value	37
	2.3.2.1 Main factors affecting land value	39
	2.3.3 Highest and Best Use	44
	2.3.3.1 Legally allowable	46
	2.3.3.2 Physically possible	47
	2.3.3.3 Financially feasible	47
	2.3.3.4 Maximally Productive	48
3	METHODOLOGIES DETERMINING MAP VALUE IN ALB	ANIA 49
	3.1 Methodology no.183 date 28.04.2005	49
	3.2 Methodology no.658 date 26.09.2012	51
	3.3 Similarities between the two methodologies	52
	3.4 Differences between the two methodologies	53
4	METHODOLOGY	56
	4.1 Selection of the method for determining the value	56
	4.2 Description of the object under study	57
	4.2.1 The Destination of Zone Usage	65
	4.2.2 Summary of zones on the subunit	69
	4.3 Determination of surface value	70
	4.3.1 Data Collection	70
	4.3.2 Data's for nominal rate of deposits and loans	78
5	RESULTS	83
	5.1 Estimation of work volumes	83
	5.2 Estimation of final results of the project	85
6	CONCLUSIONS	91
DEEED	ENCES	0/1

LIST OF FIGURES

		PAGE
Figure 2.1	Forces Influencing Value	10
Figure 2.2	Types of valuation	14
Figure 2.3	Types of individual valuation	15
Figure 2.4	Types of mass valuation	24
Figure 2.5	Types of land valuation methods	29
Figure 2.6	Highest and Best Use of a property (source wolfmcgill group)	45
Figure 4.1	Cadastral zone division of Tirana	61
Figure 4.2	Construction Intensity divided by cadastral zones of Tirana	62
Figure 4.3	Division of Tirana by zoning	63
Figure 4.4	Division of Tirana by municipalities units	64
Figure 4.5	Part of Tirana map (area under study)	66
Figure 4.6	The local detailed plan of structural subunit 7-1	67
Figure 4.7	The main plan of structural subunit 7-1	68
Figure 4.8	Map of free market value of apartments on Tirana	76
Figure 4.9	Map of land values by AKKP for Tirana	77

LIST OF TABLES

		PAGE
Table 2.1	Factors affecting land value	
	(Source Yamraliouglu)	42
Table 4.1	Data collected from the sales of apartments	70
Table 4.2	Data collected from the sale of shops	73
Table 4.3	Data obtained from the entity of housing for the free market	
	value of apartments for Tirana	75
Table 4.4	Abbreviations for second level banks	78
Table 4.5	Deposit interest rates for individuals	79
Table 4.6	Deposit interest rates for business	80
Table 4.7	The interest rates of the loans for individuals (properties)	81
Table 4.8	The interest rates of the loans for individuals (homes)	81
Table 4.9	The interest rates of the loans for small enterprises	82
Table 4.10	The interest rates of the loans for medium and corporate	82
Table 5.1	Surface of residential and business properties in area under study	83
Table 5.2	Final results from the calculations	85